A Recurrent Nova Super-Remnant in the Andromeda Galaxy

M. J. Darnley¹, R. Hounsell^{2,3,4}, T. J. O'Brien⁵, M. Henze⁶, P. Rodríguez-Gil^{7,8}, A. W. Shafter⁶, M. M. Shara⁹, N. M. H. Vaytet^{10,11}, M. F. Bode^{1,12}, R. Ciardullo^{13,14}, B. D. Davis¹³, R. Galera-Rosillo^{7,8}, D. J. Harman¹, E. J. Harvey¹, M. W. Healy¹, J.-U. Ness¹⁵, V. A. R. M. Ribeiro^{16,17}, and S. C. Williams¹⁸

Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, IC2 Liverpool Science Park, Liverpool, L3 5RF, UK. 2 University of Pennsylvania, Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA. 4 Department of Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Department of Astronomy, 209 South 33rd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6396, USA. 3 Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA. 4 Department of Astronomy, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1002 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. 5 Jodrell Bank Centre for Astronomy, San Diego, CA 92182, USA. 7 Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Vía Láctea, s/n, La Laguna, E-38205, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain.
 Bepartamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna, La Laguna, E-38206, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain. 9 American Museum of Natural History, 79th Street and Central Park West, New York, NY 10024, USA. 10 Centre for Star and Planet Formation, Niels Bohr Institute and Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, Øster Voldgade 5-7, DK-1350 Copenhagen K, Department of Astronomy, Bontagen K, Department of Astronomy, Bontagen K, Department of Astronomy, San Diego, CA 92182, USA. 11 Data Management and Software Centre, the European Spallation Source ERIC, Ole Maaløes Vej 3, DK-2200, Copenhagen, Denmark. 12 Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802 15 Departamento de Física, Universitário de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal. 18 Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YB, UK

ABSTRACT

The accretion of hydrogen onto a white dwarf star ignites a thermonuclear runaway in the accumulated envelope, leading to luminosities up to 1 million times that of the Sun and a high-velocity mass ejection that produces a remnant shell – a classical nova eruption^{1,2}. Close to the upper mass limit of a white dwarf³ (1.4M_☉), rapid accretion of hydrogen (~10-7M_☉/yr) from a binary star companion leads to frequent eruptions on timescales of years^{4,5} to decades⁶. Such systems are known as recurrent novae. The ejecta of recurrent novae, initially moving at up to 10,000km/s⁽⁷⁾, must sweep-up the surrounding interstellar medium and evacuate cavities around the nova binary. No remnant larger than one parsec from any single classical or recurrent nova eruption is known^{8,9,10}, but thousands of successive recurrent nova eruptions should be capable of generating shells ~100-1,000 times this size.

M31N 2008-12a

M31N 2008-12a is the most rapidly recurring nova, erupting annually¹¹. The recurrent

The shell mass, luminosity, and the motion of M31N 2008-12a

The [N ii]/H α line-intensity ratio is 0.54 ± 0.02, the [S II]/H α ratio is 0.48 ± 0.04, and the [S II] doublet ratio itself is 1.42 ± 0.05 and indicates an electron density of less than 100 cm⁻³ (ref. 13) within the bright outer shell of the super-remnant. With a compression factor of about 2, the pre-nova ISM density must have been less than 50 cm⁻³. This density and measurements of the super-remnant shell size indicate that the shell mass is less than 7 × 10⁵M_{\odot}

The lack of the [O III] (4,363 Å line allows only a weak temperature constraint of less than 160,000 K, but the knot [N II] emission indicates temperature T < 18,000 K.

Using the HST continuum-subtracted H α + [N II] image we computed the integrated H α + [N II] flux from the super-remnant to be 7 × 10–17 Wm⁻². When accounting for the distance⁴⁵ to M31 of 770 ± 19 kpc, we find that the total H α + [N ii] luminosity alone from the super-remnant is (1,300 ± 200)L_{\odot} (bolometric).

Hydrodynamic modelling

nova progenitor is surrounded by a nova super-remnant with a projected size of at least 134 by 90 parsecs. Larger than almost all known remnants of supernova explosions¹², this enormous shell demonstrates that M31N 2008-12a has erupted with high frequency for millions of years.

Imaging observations

The Steward 2.3m Bok Telescope H[®] image that allowed the association between the nebulosity and M31N 2008-12a to be made. North is up and East to the left.

0.0

9

O

N

0

[O II]

N

cm

erg

<u>×</u>

[a] Liverpool Telescope narrow-band Hα + [N II] continuum-subtracted
[b] HST Hα + [N II] continuum-subtracted
[c] Zoomed-in HST Hα + [N II] image showing the region within the large red box in [b]







IN

[N II

[SII]



a-c, The radial density profile around 12a. The solid lines illustrate the simulated density profiles for 2 to 100,000 eruptions (see keys). The lower and upper dotted lines show the ISM and outer-shell peak densities, respectively. d, The upper solid line illustrates the growth of the outer edge of the super-remnant shell over 100,000 eruptions; the lower solid line shows the inner-edge growth. The diagonal dotted lines are extrapolations of the radial growth curves to further eruptions. The upper and lower grey lines indicate the growth of the outer edge for lower and higher ISM densities, respectively. The



Ground-based spectroscopic observations

Our deep spectroscopy of the super-remnant shell reveals strong and narrow emission lines from the hydrogen Balmer series with natural widths narrower than the instrumental resolution (about 180 km s–1 for H α). The presence of the [O II] (wavelengths 3,726 Å and 3,729 Å) and [S II] (6,716 Å and 6,731 Å) doublets place an upper limit on the electron density of the emitting gas of around 3,000 cm⁻³ (ref. 13). The lack of [O III] emission lines indicates that there is no nearby source of ionizing radiation and that the material is of sufficient age to have cooled below the ionization of O+. No [O I] lines are detected, suggesting minimal shock heating. Given that the [N II] (6,548 Å and 6,584 Å) doublet is visible, but the [N II] (5,755 Å) line is not, we can place a 3 σ limit on the electron temperature of less than 9,000 K

The top spectrum (black) is the Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC) spectrum of the bright western part of the nova super-remnant shell. The lower spectrum (grey) is that of the inner eastern knot

horizontal dotted line is the maximum projected radius of the 12a super-remnant (67 pc; the 45-pc semi-minor axis is also shown). e, The radial H α + [N II] flux from the Liverpool Telescope (grey) and HST (red) imaging compared to the simulated super-remnant hydrogen column (black). The simulation has been rescaled from 100,000 eruptions to the observed size of the remnant. f, The super-remnant temperature evolution. The solid black line indicates simulations of 100,000 eruptions; the red and green lines show the effects of a lower and higher ISM density, respectively. An extrapolation to further eruptions is shown by the diagonal black dotted line, with the currently predicted shell temperature of around 1,200 K indicated to the lower right (cross). The solid blue line indicates the evolution of the mean electron temperature within the ejecta pile-up region. The horizontal lines indicate the upper limit of the electron temperature of the shell of the nova super-remnant as required by the spectroscopy, and the ionization temperatures of O+ and N+, required to observe [O III] or [N III]

Conclusions

The 12a white dwarf has an accretion rate of about 1.6×10^{-7} MO per year¹⁵ and a current accretion efficiency (the proportion of accreted material retained by the white dwarf post-eruption)^{15,16} that exceeds 60%. Assuming a white dwarf formation mass of about 1MO, an average efficiency of just 40% over the lifetime of the remnant is required to grow the white dwarf to the maximum mass permissible before collapse ensues3 (1.4MO; the Chandrasekhar limit). This is consistent with predictions of increasing accretion efficiency as the white dwarf mass grows⁵.

The discovery of additional super-remnants around other accreting white dwarfs will point to systems undergoing regular eruptions over long periods of time. Our simulations show that this super-remnant—to our knowledge, the first extragalactic nova shell observed—is not static and will continue to grow at least as long as nova eruptions continue in the system. Any nova super-remnants around accreting carbon-oxygen white dwarfs will ultimately be destroyed by the explosion of their parent system in a type Ia supernova. 12a is predicted to pass the Chandrasekhar limit in less than 40,000 years¹⁶. At that point, the underlying composition of the white dwarf16 will be revealed incontrovertibly when either a type Ia supernova¹⁷ or an accretion-induced collapse of the white dwarf

3 0 - Marine Manuf Manuf

 No.
 No.</th

to a neutron star¹⁸ is seen.

REFERENCES

Starrfeld, S., Truran, J. W., Sparks, W. M. & Kutter, G. S. CNO abundances andhydrodynamic models of the nova outburst. Astrophys. J. 176, 169–176(1972).
 Prialnik, D., Shara, M. M. & Shaviv, G. The evolution of a slow nova model with a Z = 0.03 envelope from pre-explosion to extinction. Astron. Astrophys. 62, 339–348 (1978).
 Chandrasekhar, S. The maximum mass of ideal white dwarfs. Astrophys. J. 74, 81–82 (1931).

4. Kato, M., Saio, H., Hachisu, I. & Nomoto, K. Shortest recurrence periods of novae. Astrophys. J. 793, 136 (2014).

5. Hillman, Y., Prialnik, D., Kovetz, A. & Shara, M. M. Growing white dwarfs to the Chandrasekhar limit: the parameter space of the single degenerate SN Ia channel. Astrophys. J 819, 168 (2016).

6. Schaefer, B. Comprehensive photometric histories of all known Galactic recurrent novae. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 187, 275–373 (2010).
 7. Munari, U. et al. The 1999 outburst of the eclipsing and recurrent nova U Scorpii. Astron. Astrophys. 347, L39–L42 (1999).
 8. Bode, M. F., O'Brien, T. J. & Simpson, M. Echoes of an explosive past: solving the mystery of the frst superluminal source. Astrophys. J. 600, L63–L66 (2004).
 9. Shara, M. M., Martin, C. D., Seibert, M., Rich, R. M. & Salim, S. An ancient nova shell around the dwarf nova Z Camelopardalis. Nature 446, 159–162 (2007).
 10. Shara, M. M. et al. AT Cnc: a second dwarf nova with a classical nova shell. Astrophys. J. 758, 121 (2012).
 11. Darnley, M. J. et al. M31N 2008-12a—the remarkable recurrent nova in M31:panchromatic observations of the 2015 eruption. Astrophys. J. 833, 149 (2016).

Stil, J. M. & Irwin, J. A. GSH 138-01-94: an old supernova remnant in the farouter Galaxy. Astrophys. J. 563, 816–827 (2001).
 Osterbrock, D. E. & Ferland, G. J. Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae and Active Galactic Nuclei 2nd edn (University Science Books, Sausalito, 2006).
 Freedman, W. L. & Madore, B. F. An empirical test for the metallicity sensitivity of the Cepheid period-luminosity relation. Astrophys. J. 365, 186–194 (1990).
 Kato, M., Saio, H. & Hachisu, I. Multi-wavelength light curve model of the one year recurrence period nova M31N 2008–12a. Astrophys. J. 808, 52 (2015).
 Darnley, M. J. et al. Infows, outfows, and a giant donor in the remarkable recurrent nova M31N 2008-12a?—Hubble Space Telescope photometry of the 2015 eruption. Astrophys. J. 849, 96 (2017).

Whelan, J. & Iben, I. Binaries and supernovae of type I. Astrophys. J. 186, 1007–1014 (1973).
 Gutiérrez, J. et al. The fnal evolution of ONeMg electron-degenerate cores. Astrophys. J. 459, 701–705 (1996).