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## Supernova Remnants are Asymmetric

- Blue = Core-Collapse
- Red = Type la



## Supernovae are Asymmetric

- Combined evidence from Observations \& Simulations
- New simulations run 10 s of seconds to days post explosion
- Asymmetric explosion mechanisms can reproduce observed SNe features


## Cassiopeia A Asymmetry Predictions

- Heavy elements should exhibit higher levels of asymmetry
- Heavier = formed closer to asymmetric explosion forces


## Cassiopeia A Asymmetry Predictions

- Heavy elements should exhibit higher levels of asymmetry
- Heavier = formed closer to asymmetric explosion forces
- NS kick should be anti-correlated with bulk ejecta motion
- Gravitational Tugboat Mechanism (Wongwathanarat, Janka, \& Müller, 2013)



## Gravitational Tugboat Mechanism

- NS's are kicked opposite to the bulk of ejecta
- Wongwathanarat, Janka, \& Müller (2013)



## Cassiopeia A Asymmetry Predictions

- Heavy elements should exhibit higher levels of asymmetry
- Heavier = formed closer to asymmetric explosion forces
- NS Kick-shoulel be anti-cerrelated with bulk-ejecta motion Shown!
- Ns kick should be most anti-correlated with the heaviest elements


## X-Ray Images: Element Bandpasses



## Sulfur




Bandpasses include continuum emission

## Method

- 1.3 Ms of Chandra data
- Split into 2517 boxes
- Fit spectra of each



## Method

- 1.3 Ms of Chandra data
- Split into 2517 boxes
- Fit spectra of each
- Subtract Continuum

Line $=$ Total - Continuum
(see A. Picquenot, next talk for a promising new method to do this type of analysis)


## Bandpass vs Continuum-subtracted Fe



## Results



- Elements exhibit a linear trend
- Heavier $\Rightarrow$ more asymmetric
- Proximity to asymmetric explosion forces
- Can be grouped by burning process
- Mg is weird
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- Elements exhibit a linear trend - Heavier $\Rightarrow$ more asymmetric
- Proximity to asymmetric explosion forces
- Can be grouped by burning process
- Mg is weird
- Ti-44 is from different emission process ( 68 keV radioactive decay line)
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## Results



- Elements exhibit a linear trend
- Heavier $\Rightarrow$ more asymmetric
- Proximity to asymmetric explosion forces
- Can be grouped by burning process
- Mg is weird
- Ti is from different emission process (radioactive decay)
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## Continuum-subtracted vs. Bandpass Comparison
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## Continuum-subtracted vs. Bandpass Comparison
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## Neutron Star Kick Correlation

- Heavier elements are oriented most opposite to the NS kick direction
- NS to Full: 155 degrees
- NS to Ca+Ar: 178 degrees


## Conclusions and Future Work

- Validates recent SN simulations and theorized explosion mechanisms
- Heavier elements exhibit more asymmetric profiles than lighter elements
- The neutron star is kicked in a direction opposed to the heaviest elements
- Does this hold for other Core-Collapse SNRs?
- What about Type la SNRs?


## Thank You

- 3D simulations (Wongwathanarat 2013,

Orlando 2016, Janka et al. 2017, Müller et al. 2018, Chen et al. 2018, Utrobin et al. 2017, Curtis et al. 2019,
Summa et al. 2018, and many more)



## Argon Fraction





## Elemental Abundances of SNRs






Fe



## Solution: Supernova Remnants!
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