What are We Learning from Supernova Remnant Samples in Nearby Galaxies?

> Knox S. Long and Bill Blair, Frank Winkler and David Helfand, Kip Kuntz, Dan Milisavljevic, Paul Plucinsky, Rob Soria, Ben Williams, Rick White

Galactic vs. Extragalactic Samples

Galactic

- 295 SNRs in the Galaxy (Green 14)
- Where are they detected?
 - Radio nearly all
 - Optical 30%
 - X-ray 40%
- Advantages
 - Spatially resolved
 - Detailed studies of individual objects possible
- Disadvantages
 - Distances are poorly known
 - Variable absorption

Extragalactic

- 1500 SNRs and SNR candidates (beyond MC)
- Where are they detected
 - Radio 10%
 - Optical 95%
 - X-ray 15%
- Advantages
 - Distance is known
 - Absorption is less of a problem
 - Snapshot of the locations where SN are occurring in a galaxy
- Disadvantages
 - Not spatially resolved in all bands
 - Photons are few

The technique for identifying SNRs optically



Hα [S II] V

Optical Identification of SNRs

- Surface Brightness limited (if objects are resolved)
- Objects that are missed
 - Very small diameter objects
 - Balmer-dominated SNRs
 - Most pulsar dominated SNRs
 - The remnant of a 2nd SN (unless the progenitor has produced its own circumstellar cloud)



M33: Red – Gordon+98 Blue – added by Long+10

X-ray identification of SNRs

In the nearest galaxies, SNRs are extended plasma dominated sources



In more distant galaxies, SNRs are soft sources, but softness is insufficient



M83 - Long+14

M33 - Long+10

Radio Identification of SNRs

In the MC and M33, SNRs are extended, nonthermal sources



In more distant galaxies, SNRs can in principle, be separated from HII regions on the basis of spectral index (but background AGN are a problem)



Spectral indices of known SNRs (in red) in M33 - White+19

Radio Identification of SNRs

- 3 years ago, at this conference, I suggested we might be able to identify SNRs in M33 based on the radio data alone. Ultimately we (White+19) decided that at least in M33 it was not possible to separate these source from background galaxies
- Lacey and others have argued that non-thermal sources associated with $\text{H}\alpha$ emission are credible candidate SNRs
- 35 radio SNR candidates in NGC6946
- Of the 35, only a couple had been detected as optical SNR candidates by Matonick and Fesen
- To explain this, Lacey & Duric suggested the radio SNRs (partly by the selection criteria) are located in regions that make them hard to detect optically
- Deeper radio surveys are now possible/underway to try to resolve this problem
- Caveat Measuring spectral indices is hard
 - Scaled array observations are needed if the source is resolved
 - If one measures a source at 1.4 and 5 GHz to an accuracy of 10%, the error in the spectral index will be about 40%
- Luminosity limited beyond the local group



Radio SNR Candidates in NGC6946 – Lacey & Duric 1990

A Tale of 4 Galaxies

LMC – 59 SNRs – Bozzeto+18 M33 – 221 SNRs – White+19

• LMC @ 50kpc • 0.3 $M_{o}yr^{-1} \rightarrow 1 SNe$ • M33 @ 815 kpc • 0.3 $M_o yr^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ SNe • M83 @ 4.6 Mpc • 3-4 $M_{o}yr^{-1} \rightarrow 6$ SNe • NGC6946 @ 6.7 Mpc • 3.2 $M_o yr^{-1} \rightarrow 10$ SNe X-ray



M83 – 257+7 SNRs -- Long+14 → Williams+19 NGC6946 – 147 + 35 SNRs – Long+17

What have we learned (or not) from the large samples?

Ejecta dominated objects are rare

- Despite having identified more than 1400 SNRs optically, exceedingly few remnants with broad optical emission lines have been found
- LMC (and SMC)
 - N132d (and EO102)
- M33
 - None
- M83
 - SN1957d and B12-174a
- NGC6946
 - None, except SN1980k and SN2004et
- Why
 - Optically SNRs evolve quickly
 - Small diameter SNRs are faint and hard to find



4 (of many) small diameter SNRs in M83 – Winkler+17

Old SNRs tend to be brighter

- Optically the shocks we detect are dense phases of ISM and large SNRs have more surface area
- Nearly all SNe fade to nothing



X-ray L_x prediction for Sedov SNRs @ 1 Mpc observed with Chandra

Luminosity function



X-ray

 $H\alpha$

Radio Colors inconsistent

X-ray – H α correlations or lack thereof





M33 - Long+10

Diameter distributions – LMC, M33, M83, NGC6946





Densities vs diameter





M83 – Winkler+17



Density vs GC distance





M83 – Winkler+17

M33 – Long+18

Reddening



M33 – Long+18

M83 – Winkler+17

NGC6946 - Long+19

M33 – [N II]:H α and [S II]:H α vs. GC





M83 – [N II]:H α and [S II]:H α vs. GC



NGC6946 – [N II]:H α and [S II]:H α vs. GC







Shock models



Mappings grid (no precursor) 100-1000 km s, various values of n for fixed B- Allen+08

Model Comparisons – M33





Long+18

Model Comparisons – NGC6946





Model Comparisons – M83





What do the radio detections indicate?

M33 radio – Luminosity and Σ – D





LMC and M83 similar, but ask me later

M33 radio - luminosity



M33 with L_R models of Sarbardhicary+17 (updated)

M33 radio – L_r:L_x



- With X-ray emission, ne can be estimated crudely but directly for a SNR in the Sedov phase, given the E_o and D.
- In the context of the S17 models, one predicts a steep decline in L_r:L_x

This needs to be sorted out, but demonstrates importance of a multiwavelength comparison

Expanding the Sample

New techniques/Additional tests – [Fe II]

- With improvements in IR detectors it is also possible to observe lines from SNRs in the IR, which makes absorption less of a problem
- Shock models predict [Fe II]:Paβ ratios greater than 1 whereas HII regions have very low ratios
- Fe II is less affected by dust than [S II]
- [Fe II] lines at 1.28 and 1.65 μ which allow measurements of the reddening
- Considerable work is being done on Galactic SNRs from the ground, e.g Lee+19, who detected 25% of the known SNRs in the first quadrant of the Galaxy with UKIRT
- With HST, with a far lower thermal background, we have found that many of the SNRs in M83 have [Fe II] emission
 - 88 known SNRs detected along with 26 [Fe II] only objects



M83 – Blair+14

[Fe II] – NGC6946

- HST [Fe II] imagery of NGC6946
- Goal
 - Explore the relationship between [Fe II] and [S II] SNRs
 - Attempt to see whether Lacey & Duric's suggestion that radio SNRs were hidden in Hα was correct
- Results:
 - 106 [Fe II] nebulae in blind search



Continuum-subtracted [Fe II] image

With [Fe II], # of radio SNRs seen in two bands from $11/35 \rightarrow 19/35$)





Before [Fe II]

After [Fe II]

Purifying the Sample

- Radio issues (already discussed)
 - Spectral indices are hard to measure
 - Background galaxies are difficult to eliminate (unless SNRs are resolved)
- X-ray issues (already discussed)
 - Need a bigger telescope
 - Loss of soft sensitivity for Chandra hurts
- Optical issues

....

- In early work, there was a clear separation of [S II]:Ha ratios between SNRs and HII regions because only the brightest objects were observed
- Boundary far less clear now that much fainter objects can be observed
- Long slit spectroscopy is used to subtract background, but is tricky, especially for H $\!\alpha$
- One way to "purify" a sample is to require detection in multiple wavelength bands, but



M33

Higher resolution spectroscopy



SOAR spectra of M83 - Points+I n prep

Bulk motions

- HII regions ~10 km s⁻¹
- SNR >100 km s⁻¹
- To test this idea
 - In LMC, scanned spectra of about 10 SNRs and several HII regions
 - In M83, long-slit spectra of 4 SNRs and several HII regions

Completeness is an illusion

- "SNRs provide an instantaneous snapshot of where SNe explode in a galaxy"
- If that statement were true, or even it were mostly true in some limited diameter/age range ,then we could use the SNR to estimate the SN rate in individual galaxies
 - NGC6946 has had 10 SNe in 100 years, so there should be 1000 SNRs younger than 10,000 years old. We currently have 153
 - Of those 10 SNe in NGC6946, we have two
- Of the 5 SNe, we know about in the Galaxy, SN1006, the Crab Nebulae Tycho, Kepler and Cas A, we should have detected Cas A and possibly the Crab, but are unlikely to have found Kepler any of the others
- There are many problems
 - Detecting SNRs optically in the presence of H α emission is problematic
 - Not a single Balmer dominated SNR outside the LMC has been detected Ia SNRs are likely underrepresented, however see the poster by C D.J. Lin (S1.12)
 - Small diameter objects have to be picked out from stellar vermin
 - The 2nd SNR in a cluster is expected to be faint.
- Much more systematic studies of missed SNRs are really needed

Conclusions

Suggestions

- Since the first extragalactic SNRs were discovered in the Magellanic Cloud, we have identified more than 1500 SNRs in nearby galaxies, many more than in the galaxy
- The SNR sample are not complete (or likely even nearly) complete to a certain diameter or age in any galaxy (possibly excluding the LMC).
 - Deeper/different types of observations are needed
- Ejecta dominated objects are rare
- The SNRs that we find are heavily weighted towards middle-aged ISM – dominated SNRs because the [S II]:Ha method favors their discovery
- Various trends in line ratios from galaxy to galaxy and within galaxies are apparent.
 - Density diameter
 - Reddening Galactocentric Radius
 - Abundance Galactocentric Radius
- However the properties of SNRs are very heterogenous for reasons that are associated with environment (which we are not been able to disentangle quantitatively)

- Rather than finding samples in new galaxies, a better course of action is to hammer on the galaxies where we already have good samples
 - Examples of the types of observations that are needed include [Fe II] and higher spectral resolution in the optical
 - Repeating some of the previous studies would be sensible
- LMC (and SMC) has good coverage in X-rays, but would benefit from a very systematic/uniform determination of optical fluxes and line-ratios and new radio data taken in a highly systematic manner
- Deep radio observations with the JVLA and ATCA of SNRs are needed (as are radio astronomers who can turn observations into results)
 - Careful attention needs to be paid to getting accurate spectral indices and separating SNR emission from HII region emission
 - Higher angular resolution observations are required to separate background galaxies from Crab like SNRs in M33, M31 and elsewhere, e.g. work on M31 described by Sarbadhicary (S7.9)